Dynamical instabilities in system of multiple are likely driven by secular chaos ¹O ²O ³OO ⁵ Astronomical Journal, in press o We use the 5-planet Kepler 102 system as a case study to understand what's driving instabilities: Availa<u>ble on arXiv</u> plathistsystem has a few features of interest Background. Simulated planetary systems based on the rved planetary werve teve significated versions are stable architeoture of observed Kepter multis frequently show gescales up to hithe two smallest in the two smalle dynamical nstabilities (Vi/eassign masses based on a proximately almost always neon sithat econing with table radies relations in assign low eccentricities and steared with are several other are several of a structure of the district on of the several of the district on of the several of the district of the distribution of the distribution of the district of the distribution of the distrebution of the distrebution of the distribution of the distreb ations, randomize or bital angles, and simulate for stem h the bwever, at the ow eccentricities typica billion inner-planet proits ration Andas tatistic Preplem Mis atatistic and the planet of the station ased on planetary radii), r difference between side and contact and co Bendis barries a with the the that that mean motion recording ne ili-plane. Sv. multeplane veak trend that equal 8 88 :8 8 8 8 8 -10^{-5} 10 and the adiance and the ment where reaining and Weather But what specifically drive those stabilities? & & & acknowl ge date rus alocation of of the real (Gye old) observed a ut planet systems ∕<mark>¤100 1000</mark> 20 **Megners** 5 30,___ 20 unstable 8 . theeterminaenovenet ²⁵0%.25 **162**5 10 spacings: 8 20%2 88<u>i</u>≩ly⊇a 156150 of 5%0.05 Constraints §\$8:85 € 10 881L 10 Separation (mutual Hill radii) orbital period (days)

888

0.0

1 ere creatinere resve orbind period

